

## 5.4 Rank (in)equalities

**Exercise 5.41 (Two zero blocks, rank)**

(a) For any two matrices  $A$  and  $D$  (not necessarily square), show that

$$\text{rk} \begin{pmatrix} A & O \\ O & D \end{pmatrix} = \text{rk}(A) + \text{rk}(D).$$

(b) For any two matrices  $B$  and  $C$  (not necessarily square), show that

$$\text{rk} \begin{pmatrix} O & B \\ C & O \end{pmatrix} = \text{rk}(B) + \text{rk}(C).$$

**Solution**

(a) The rank of a matrix is equal to the number of its linearly independent columns. Let

$$Z := \begin{pmatrix} A & O \\ O & D \end{pmatrix}, \quad \tilde{A} := \begin{pmatrix} A \\ O \end{pmatrix}, \quad \tilde{D} := \begin{pmatrix} O \\ D \end{pmatrix}.$$

Let  $\tilde{a} := (a', 0')'$  and  $\tilde{d} := (0', d')'$  be two nonzero columns of  $\tilde{A}$  and  $\tilde{D}$ , respectively. Then  $\tilde{a}$  and  $\tilde{d}$  are linearly independent, because if

$$\lambda_1 \tilde{a} + \lambda_2 \tilde{d} = \lambda_1 \begin{pmatrix} a \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} + \lambda_2 \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ d \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} \lambda_1 a \\ \lambda_2 d \end{pmatrix} = 0,$$

then  $\lambda_1 = \lambda_2 = 0$  (since  $\tilde{a}$  and  $\tilde{d}$  are nonzero). This implies that  $\text{rk}(\tilde{A} : \tilde{D}) = \text{rk}(\tilde{A}) + \text{rk}(\tilde{D})$  and hence that  $\text{rk}(Z) = \text{rk}(A) + \text{rk}(D)$ .

(b) The rank does not change if we interchange columns. Hence,

$$\text{rk} \begin{pmatrix} O & B \\ C & O \end{pmatrix} = \text{rk} \begin{pmatrix} B & O \\ O & C \end{pmatrix} = \text{rk}(B) + \text{rk}(C),$$

using (a).

**Exercise 5.42 (One off-diagonal zero block, rank)** Consider the matrices

$$Z_1 := \begin{pmatrix} A & B \\ O & D \end{pmatrix} \quad \text{and} \quad Z_2 := \begin{pmatrix} A & O \\ C & D \end{pmatrix}.$$

Show that it is *not* true, in general, that  $\text{rk}(Z_1) = \text{rk}(A) + \text{rk}(D)$  or that  $\text{rk}(Z_2) = \text{rk}(A) + \text{rk}(D)$ .

**Solution**

Take  $A = O$  and  $D = O$ . Then  $\text{rk}(A) = \text{rk}(D) = 0$ , but  $\text{rk}(Z_1) = \text{rk}(B)$  and  $\text{rk}(Z_2) = \text{rk}(C)$ , which are not zero, unless  $B = O$  and  $C = O$ .

**Exercise 5.43 (Nonsingular diagonal block, rank)** Consider the matrices  $Z_1$  and  $Z_2$  of Exercise 5.42. If either  $A$  or  $D$  (or both) is nonsingular, show that

$$\text{rk}(Z_1) = \text{rk}(Z_2) = \text{rk}(A) + \text{rk}(D).$$

Is this condition necessary?

**Solution**

First, if  $A = I_m$  and  $D = I_n$ , then both  $Z_1$  and  $Z_2$  are nonsingular (their determinant is 1 by Exercise 5.25). Now assume that  $|A| \neq 0$ . Then,

$$\begin{pmatrix} A & B \\ O & D \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} I_m & -A^{-1}B \\ O & I_q \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} A & O \\ O & D \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} I_m & O \\ -CA^{-1} & I_n \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} A & O \\ C & D \end{pmatrix}$$

and the result follows from Exercise 4.24. Similarly, if  $|D| \neq 0$ , we have

$$\begin{pmatrix} I_m & -BD^{-1} \\ O & I_n \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} A & B \\ O & D \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} A & O \\ O & D \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} A & O \\ C & D \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} I_p & O \\ -D^{-1}C & I_n \end{pmatrix}.$$

The condition is not necessary. For example, if  $B = O$  and  $C = O$ , then  $\text{rk}(Z_1)$  and  $\text{rk}(Z_2)$  are both equal to  $\text{rk}(A) + \text{rk}(D)$  whatever the ranks of  $A$  and  $D$ .

**Exercise 5.44 (Nonsingular off-diagonal block, rank)** Consider again the matrices  $Z_1$  and  $Z_2$  of Exercise 5.42. Show that

$$\text{rk}(Z_1) = \text{rk}(B) + \text{rk}(DB^{-1}A)$$

if  $B$  is square and nonsingular, and

$$\text{rk}(Z_2) = \text{rk}(C) + \text{rk}(AC^{-1}D)$$

if  $C$  is square and nonsingular.

**Solution**

The results follow from the equalities

$$\begin{pmatrix} I_m & O \\ -DB^{-1} & I_n \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} A & B \\ O & D \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} O & I_p \\ I_m & -B^{-1}A \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} B & O \\ O & -DB^{-1}A \end{pmatrix}$$

and

$$\begin{pmatrix} O & I_n \\ I_m & -AC^{-1} \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} A & O \\ C & D \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} I_n & -C^{-1}D \\ O & I_q \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} C & O \\ O & -AC^{-1}D \end{pmatrix}.$$

**Exercise 5.45 (Rank inequalities, 1)**

(a) Prove that

$$\text{rk} \begin{pmatrix} A & B \\ O & D \end{pmatrix} \geq \text{rk}(A) + \text{rk}(D), \quad \text{rk} \begin{pmatrix} A & O \\ C & D \end{pmatrix} \geq \text{rk}(A) + \text{rk}(D).$$

(b) Show that it is not true, in general, that

$$\text{rk} \begin{pmatrix} A & B \\ C & D \end{pmatrix} \geq \text{rk}(A) + \text{rk}(D).$$

**Solution**

(a) Let

$$\mathbf{Z} := \begin{pmatrix} \mathbf{A} & \mathbf{B} \\ \mathbf{O} & \mathbf{D} \end{pmatrix},$$

where the orders of the matrices are:  $\mathbf{A}$  ( $m \times p$ ),  $\mathbf{B}$  ( $m \times q$ ), and  $\mathbf{D}$  ( $n \times q$ ). Suppose that  $r := \text{rk}(\mathbf{A}) \leq p$  and that  $s := \text{rk}(\mathbf{D}) \leq q$ . Then  $\mathbf{A}$  has  $r$  linearly independent columns, say  $\mathbf{a}_1, \dots, \mathbf{a}_r$ , and  $\mathbf{D}$  has  $s$  linearly independent columns, say  $\mathbf{d}_1, \dots, \mathbf{d}_s$ . Let  $\mathbf{b}_j$  denote the column of  $\mathbf{B}$  directly above  $\mathbf{d}_j$  in the matrix  $\mathbf{Z}$ . Now consider the set of  $r + s$  columns of  $\mathbf{Z}$ ,

$$\begin{pmatrix} \mathbf{a}_1 \\ \mathbf{0} \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} \mathbf{a}_2 \\ \mathbf{0} \end{pmatrix}, \dots, \begin{pmatrix} \mathbf{a}_r \\ \mathbf{0} \end{pmatrix}, \dots, \begin{pmatrix} \mathbf{b}_1 \\ \mathbf{d}_1 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} \mathbf{b}_2 \\ \mathbf{d}_2 \end{pmatrix}, \dots, \begin{pmatrix} \mathbf{b}_s \\ \mathbf{d}_s \end{pmatrix}.$$

We shall show that these  $r + s$  columns are linearly independent. Suppose they are linearly dependent. Then there exist numbers  $\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_r$  and  $\beta_1, \dots, \beta_s$ , not all zero, such that

$$\sum_{i=1}^r \alpha_i \begin{pmatrix} \mathbf{a}_i \\ \mathbf{0} \end{pmatrix} + \sum_{j=1}^s \beta_j \begin{pmatrix} \mathbf{b}_j \\ \mathbf{d}_j \end{pmatrix} = \mathbf{0}.$$

This gives the two equations

$$\sum_{i=1}^r \alpha_i \mathbf{a}_i + \sum_{j=1}^s \beta_j \mathbf{b}_j = \mathbf{0}, \quad \sum_{j=1}^s \beta_j \mathbf{d}_j = \mathbf{0}.$$

Since the  $\{\mathbf{d}_j\}$  are linearly independent, the second equation implies that  $\beta_j = 0$  for all  $j$ . The first equation then reduces to  $\sum_{i=1}^r \alpha_i \mathbf{a}_i = \mathbf{0}$ . Since the  $\{\mathbf{a}_i\}$  are linearly independent as well, all  $\alpha_i$  are zero. We now have a contradiction. The matrix  $\mathbf{Z}$  thus possesses (at least)  $r + s$  linearly independent columns, so that  $\text{rk}(\mathbf{Z}) \geq r + s = \text{rk}(\mathbf{A}) + \text{rk}(\mathbf{D})$ .

The second result can be proved analogously. Alternatively, it can be proved from the first result by considering the transpose:

$$\begin{aligned} \text{rk} \begin{pmatrix} \mathbf{A} & \mathbf{O} \\ \mathbf{C} & \mathbf{D} \end{pmatrix} &= \text{rk} \begin{pmatrix} \mathbf{A} & \mathbf{O} \\ \mathbf{C} & \mathbf{D} \end{pmatrix}' = \text{rk} \begin{pmatrix} \mathbf{A}' & \mathbf{C}' \\ \mathbf{O} & \mathbf{D}' \end{pmatrix} \\ &\geq \text{rk}(\mathbf{A}') + \text{rk}(\mathbf{D}') = \text{rk}(\mathbf{A}) + \text{rk}(\mathbf{D}). \end{aligned}$$

(b) Consider

$$\mathbf{Z} := \begin{pmatrix} \mathbf{A} & \mathbf{B} \\ \mathbf{C} & \mathbf{D} \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} \mathbf{I}_m & \mathbf{I}_m \\ \mathbf{I}_m & \mathbf{I}_m \end{pmatrix}.$$

Then  $\text{rk}(\mathbf{A}) = \text{rk}(\mathbf{D}) = \text{rk}(\mathbf{Z}) = m$ , so that the inequality does not hold.

**Exercise 5.46 (Rank inequalities, 2)** Consider the matrices

$$\mathbf{Z}_1 := \begin{pmatrix} \mathbf{A} & \mathbf{B} \\ \mathbf{C} & \mathbf{O} \end{pmatrix} \quad \text{and} \quad \mathbf{Z}_2 := \begin{pmatrix} \mathbf{O} & \mathbf{B} \\ \mathbf{C} & \mathbf{D} \end{pmatrix}.$$

(a) If either  $B$  or  $C$  (or both) is nonsingular, then show that

$$\text{rk}(Z_1) = \text{rk}(Z_2) = \text{rk}(B) + \text{rk}(C).$$

(b) Show that

$$\text{rk}(Z_1) = \text{rk}(A) + \text{rk}(CA^{-1}B)$$

if  $A$  is square and nonsingular, and

$$\text{rk}(Z_2) = \text{rk}(D) + \text{rk}(BD^{-1}C)$$

if  $D$  is square and nonsingular.

(c) Show that

$$\text{rk}(Z_1) \geq \text{rk}(B) + \text{rk}(C), \quad \text{rk}(Z_2) \geq \text{rk}(B) + \text{rk}(C).$$

### Solution

Since the rank does not change if we interchange columns, we have

$$\text{rk}(Z_1) = \text{rk} \begin{pmatrix} B & A \\ O & C \end{pmatrix}, \quad \text{rk}(Z_2) = \text{rk} \begin{pmatrix} B & O \\ D & C \end{pmatrix}.$$

Results (a)–(c) now follow from Exercises 5.43–5.45.

### Exercise 5.47 (The inequalities of Frobenius and Sylvester)

(a) Use Exercise 5.46 to obtain the following famous inequality:

$$\text{rk}(AB) + \text{rk}(BC) \leq \text{rk}(B) + \text{rk}(ABC),$$

if the product  $ABC$  exists (Frobenius).

(b) From (a) obtain another famous inequality:

$$\text{rk}(AB) \geq \text{rk}(A) + \text{rk}(B) - p$$

for any  $m \times p$  matrix  $A$  and  $p \times n$  matrix  $B$  (Sylvester's law of nullity).

(c) Show that  $AB = O$  implies that  $\text{rk}(A) \leq p - \text{rk}(B)$  for any  $m \times p$  matrix  $A$  and  $p \times n$  matrix  $B$ . (This generalizes Exercise 4.8.)

### Solution

(a) Consider the identity

$$\begin{pmatrix} I_m & -A \\ O & I_n \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} O & AB \\ BC & B \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} I_q & O \\ -C & I_p \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} -ABC & O \\ O & B \end{pmatrix}.$$

Of the four matrices, the first and third are nonsingular. Hence,

$$\text{rk} \begin{pmatrix} O & AB \\ BC & B \end{pmatrix} = \text{rk}(ABC) + \text{rk}(B).$$

Also, by Exercise 5.46(c),

$$\operatorname{rk} \begin{pmatrix} \mathbf{O} & \mathbf{AB} \\ \mathbf{BC} & \mathbf{B} \end{pmatrix} \geq \operatorname{rk}(\mathbf{AB}) + \operatorname{rk}(\mathbf{BC}),$$

and the result follows.

(b) From Frobenius's inequality we obtain

$$\operatorname{rk}(\mathbf{AX}) + \operatorname{rk}(\mathbf{XB}) \leq \operatorname{rk}(\mathbf{X}) + \operatorname{rk}(\mathbf{AXB})$$

for any square matrix  $\mathbf{X}$  of order  $p$ . Setting  $\mathbf{X} = \mathbf{I}_p$  gives the result.

(c) Since  $\mathbf{AB} = \mathbf{O}$ , Sylvester's inequality gives  $0 = \operatorname{rk}(\mathbf{AB}) \geq \operatorname{rk}(\mathbf{A}) + \operatorname{rk}(\mathbf{B}) - p$ .

**Exercise 5.48 (Rank of a partitioned matrix: main result)** Let

$$\mathbf{Z} := \begin{pmatrix} \mathbf{A} & \mathbf{B} \\ \mathbf{C} & \mathbf{D} \end{pmatrix}.$$

Show that

$$\operatorname{rk}(\mathbf{Z}) = \operatorname{rk}(\mathbf{A}) + \operatorname{rk}(\mathbf{D} - \mathbf{CA}^{-1}\mathbf{B}) \quad (\text{if } |\mathbf{A}| \neq 0)$$

and

$$\operatorname{rk}(\mathbf{Z}) = \operatorname{rk}(\mathbf{D}) + \operatorname{rk}(\mathbf{A} - \mathbf{BD}^{-1}\mathbf{C}) \quad (\text{if } |\mathbf{D}| \neq 0).$$

**Solution**

If  $\mathbf{A}$  is nonsingular we can write

$$\begin{pmatrix} \mathbf{I}_m & \mathbf{O} \\ -\mathbf{CA}^{-1} & \mathbf{I}_n \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \mathbf{A} & \mathbf{B} \\ \mathbf{C} & \mathbf{D} \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \mathbf{I}_m & -\mathbf{A}^{-1}\mathbf{B} \\ \mathbf{O} & \mathbf{I}_q \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} \mathbf{A} & \mathbf{O} \\ \mathbf{O} & \mathbf{D} - \mathbf{CA}^{-1}\mathbf{B} \end{pmatrix}.$$

Similarly, if  $\mathbf{D}$  is nonsingular, we can write

$$\begin{pmatrix} \mathbf{I}_m & -\mathbf{BD}^{-1} \\ \mathbf{O} & \mathbf{I}_n \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \mathbf{A} & \mathbf{B} \\ \mathbf{C} & \mathbf{D} \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \mathbf{I}_p & \mathbf{O} \\ -\mathbf{D}^{-1}\mathbf{C} & \mathbf{I}_n \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} \mathbf{A} - \mathbf{BD}^{-1}\mathbf{C} & \mathbf{O} \\ \mathbf{O} & \mathbf{D} \end{pmatrix}.$$

Since for any matrix  $\mathbf{Z}$ ,  $\operatorname{rk}(\mathbf{Z}) = \operatorname{rk}(\mathbf{EZF})$  whenever  $\mathbf{E}$  and  $\mathbf{F}$  are nonsingular, the results follow.

**Exercise 5.49 (Relationship between the ranks of  $\mathbf{I}_m - \mathbf{BB}'$  and  $\mathbf{I}_n - \mathbf{B}'\mathbf{B}$ )** Show that

$$\operatorname{rk} \begin{pmatrix} \mathbf{I}_m & \mathbf{B} \\ \mathbf{B}' & \mathbf{I}_n \end{pmatrix} = m + \operatorname{rk}(\mathbf{I}_n - \mathbf{B}'\mathbf{B}) = n + \operatorname{rk}(\mathbf{I}_m - \mathbf{BB}').$$

**Solution**

From Exercise 5.48 we obtain

$$\operatorname{rk} \begin{pmatrix} \mathbf{I}_m & \mathbf{B} \\ \mathbf{B}' & \mathbf{I}_n \end{pmatrix} = \operatorname{rk}(\mathbf{I}_m) + \operatorname{rk}(\mathbf{I}_n - \mathbf{B}'\mathbf{B}) = m + \operatorname{rk}(\mathbf{I}_n - \mathbf{B}'\mathbf{B})$$

and also

$$\operatorname{rk} \begin{pmatrix} I_m & B \\ B' & I_n \end{pmatrix} = \operatorname{rk}(I_n) + \operatorname{rk}(I_m - BB') = n + \operatorname{rk}(I_m - BB').$$

**Exercise 5.50 (Relationship between the ranks of  $I_m - BC$  and  $I_n - CB$ )**

(a) Let  $B$  and  $C$  be square  $n \times n$  matrices. Show that

$$\operatorname{rk}(I_n - BC) = \operatorname{rk}(I_n - CB).$$

(b) Now let  $B$  be an  $m \times n$  matrix and  $C$  an  $n \times m$  matrix. Extend the result under (a) by showing that

$$\operatorname{rk}(I_m - BC) = \operatorname{rk}(I_n - CB) + m - n.$$

**Solution**

(a) We have

$$\begin{pmatrix} I_n & -B \\ O & I_n \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} I_n & B \\ C & I_n \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} I_n & O \\ -C & I_n \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} I_n - BC & O \\ O & I_n \end{pmatrix}$$

and

$$\begin{pmatrix} I_n & O \\ -C & I_n \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} I_n & B \\ C & I_n \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} I_n & -B \\ O & I_n \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} I_n & O \\ O & I_n - CB \end{pmatrix}.$$

This proves (a) and shows in addition that

$$\operatorname{rk}(I_n - BC) = \operatorname{rk}(I_n - CB) = \operatorname{rk} \begin{pmatrix} I_n & B \\ C & I_n \end{pmatrix}.$$

(b) The argument is identical to the argument under (a), except for the order of the identity matrices. Thus, we conclude that

$$\operatorname{rk} \begin{pmatrix} I_m - BC & O \\ O & I_n \end{pmatrix} = \operatorname{rk} \begin{pmatrix} I_m & O \\ O & I_n - CB \end{pmatrix}$$

and the result follows.

**Exercise 5.51 (Upper bound for the rank of a sum)** Let  $A$  and  $B$  be matrices of the same order. We know from Exercise 4.14 that

$$\operatorname{rk}(A + B) \leq \operatorname{rk}(A) + \operatorname{rk}(B).$$

Provide an alternative proof, using partitioned matrices.

**Solution**

The argument builds on the two matrices

$$Z_1 := \begin{pmatrix} A & O \\ O & B \end{pmatrix} \quad \text{and} \quad Z_2 := \begin{pmatrix} A + B & B \\ B & B \end{pmatrix}.$$

The matrices  $Z_1$  and  $Z_2$  have the same rank, because

$$\begin{pmatrix} I_m & I_m \\ \mathbf{O} & I_m \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \mathbf{A} & \mathbf{O} \\ \mathbf{O} & \mathbf{B} \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} I_n & \mathbf{O} \\ I_n & I_n \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} \mathbf{A} + \mathbf{B} & \mathbf{B} \\ \mathbf{B} & \mathbf{B} \end{pmatrix}.$$

Clearly,  $\text{rk}(Z_1) = \text{rk}(\mathbf{A}) + \text{rk}(\mathbf{B})$ . Also, since  $\mathbf{A} + \mathbf{B}$  is a submatrix of  $Z_2$  we must have  $\text{rk}(Z_2) \geq \text{rk}(\mathbf{A} + \mathbf{B})$  (Exercise 4.17). Hence,

$$\text{rk}(\mathbf{A} + \mathbf{B}) \leq \text{rk}(Z_2) = \text{rk}(Z_1) = \text{rk}(\mathbf{A}) + \text{rk}(\mathbf{B}).$$

**Exercise 5.52 (Rank of a 3-by-3 block matrix)** Consider the symmetric matrix  $Z$  of Exercise 5.19. Show that

$$\text{rk}(Z) = \text{rk}(D) + \text{rk}(E) + \text{rk}(\mathbf{A} - BD^{-1}B' - CE^{-1}C')$$

if  $D$  and  $E$  are nonsingular.

**Solution**

Let

$$\tilde{\mathbf{A}} := \mathbf{A}, \quad \tilde{\mathbf{B}} := (\mathbf{B} : \mathbf{C}), \quad \tilde{\mathbf{C}} := (\mathbf{B} : \mathbf{C})', \quad \tilde{\mathbf{D}} := \begin{pmatrix} D & \mathbf{O} \\ \mathbf{O} & E \end{pmatrix}.$$

Then, using Exercise 5.48,

$$\begin{aligned} \text{rk}(Z) &= \text{rk}(\tilde{\mathbf{D}}) + \text{rk}(\tilde{\mathbf{A}} - \tilde{\mathbf{B}}\tilde{\mathbf{D}}^{-1}\tilde{\mathbf{C}}) \\ &= \text{rk}(D) + \text{rk}(E) + \text{rk}(\mathbf{A} - BD^{-1}B' - CE^{-1}C'). \end{aligned}$$

**Exercise 5.53 (Rank of a bordered matrix)** Let

$$Z := \begin{pmatrix} \mathbf{0} & \mathbf{A} \\ \alpha & \mathbf{a}' \end{pmatrix}.$$

Show that

$$\text{rk}(Z) = \begin{cases} \text{rk}(\mathbf{A}) & (\alpha = 0 \text{ and } \mathbf{a} \in \text{col}(\mathbf{A}')), \\ \text{rk}(\mathbf{A}) + 1 & (\text{otherwise}). \end{cases}$$

**Solution**

If  $\alpha \neq 0$  then  $\text{rk}(Z) = \text{rk}(\mathbf{A}) + 1$  by Exercise 5.46(a). If  $\alpha = 0$  then  $\text{rk}(Z) = \text{rk}(\mathbf{A}' : \mathbf{a})$ .

If  $\mathbf{a} \in \text{col}(\mathbf{A}')$  then

$$\text{rk}(\mathbf{A}' : \mathbf{a}) = \text{rk}(\mathbf{A}') = \text{rk}(\mathbf{A}).$$

If  $\mathbf{a} \notin \text{col}(\mathbf{A}')$  then

$$\text{rk}(\mathbf{A}' : \mathbf{a}) = \text{rk}(\mathbf{A}') + 1 = \text{rk}(\mathbf{A}) + 1.$$

### 5.5 The sweep operator

**Exercise 5.54 (Simple sweep)** Consider the  $2 \times 2$  matrix

$$\mathbf{A} := \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix}.$$

- (a) Compute  $\mathbf{A}^{(1)} := \text{SWP}(\mathbf{A}, 1)$  and state the condition(s) under which it is defined.
- (b) Compute  $\mathbf{A}^{(2)} := \text{SWP}(\mathbf{A}^{(1)}, 2)$  and state the condition(s) under which it is defined.
- (c) Show that  $\mathbf{A}^{(2)} = -\mathbf{A}^{-1}$ .

**Solution**

(a) By definition, we have

$$\mathbf{A}^{(1)} = \text{SWP}(\mathbf{A}, 1) = \begin{pmatrix} -1/a & b/a \\ c/a & d - bc/a \end{pmatrix},$$

provided  $a \neq 0$ .

(b) Applying the definition to  $\mathbf{A}^{(1)}$  gives

$$\mathbf{A}^{(2)} = \text{SWP}(\mathbf{A}^{(1)}, 2) = \frac{a}{ad - bc} \begin{pmatrix} -\frac{ad-bc}{a^2} & \frac{cb}{a^2} & \frac{b}{a} \\ \frac{c}{a} & -1 & \end{pmatrix} = \frac{-1}{ad - bc} \begin{pmatrix} d & -b \\ -c & a \end{pmatrix},$$

provided  $a \neq 0$  and  $ad - bc \neq 0$ .

(c) We recognize  $-\mathbf{A}^{(2)}$  as the inverse of  $\mathbf{A}$  or, if we don't, we can verify that  $\mathbf{A}\mathbf{A}^{(2)} = -\mathbf{I}_2$ .

**Exercise 5.55 (General sweep)**

- (a) Let  $\mathbf{A}$  be a  $3 \times 3$  matrix. Compute  $\text{SWP}(\mathbf{A}, 2)$  and state the condition(s) under which it is defined.
- (b) Let  $\mathbf{A}$  be an  $n \times n$  matrix. For  $1 \leq p \leq n$ , compute  $\text{SWP}(\mathbf{A}, p)$  and state the condition(s) under which it is defined.

**Solution**

(a) Let

$$\mathbf{A} := \begin{pmatrix} a_{11} & a_{12} & a_{13} \\ a_{21} & a_{22} & a_{23} \\ a_{31} & a_{32} & a_{33} \end{pmatrix}.$$

Then, applying the definition,

$$\text{SWP}(\mathbf{A}, 2) = \begin{pmatrix} a_{11} - a_{12}a_{21}/a_{22} & a_{12}/a_{22} & a_{13} - a_{12}a_{23}/a_{22} \\ a_{21}/a_{22} & -1/a_{22} & a_{23}/a_{22} \\ a_{31} - a_{32}a_{21}/a_{22} & a_{32}/a_{22} & a_{33} - a_{32}a_{23}/a_{22} \end{pmatrix},$$

provided  $a_{22} \neq 0$ .



(b) More generally, if

$$A := \begin{pmatrix} A_{11} & a_{12} & A_{13} \\ a'_{21} & a_{22} & a'_{23} \\ A_{31} & a_{32} & A_{33} \end{pmatrix},$$

where  $A_{11}$  has order  $p - 1$ ,  $a_{22}$  is a scalar, and  $A_{33}$  has order  $n - p$ , then we obtain in the same way

$$\text{SWP}(A, p) = \begin{pmatrix} A_{11} - a_{12}a'_{21}/a_{22} & a_{12}/a_{22} & A_{13} - a_{12}a'_{23}/a_{22} \\ a'_{21}/a_{22} & -1/a_{22} & a'_{23}/a_{22} \\ A_{31} - a_{32}a'_{21}/a_{22} & a_{32}/a_{22} & A_{33} - a_{32}a'_{23}/a_{22} \end{pmatrix},$$

provided  $a_{22}$  is nonzero.

**\*Exercise 5.56 (The sweeping theorem)** Let  $A$  be an  $n \times n$  matrix and let  $1 \leq p \leq n$ . Define  $A^{(k)}$  recursively by  $A^{(k)} := \text{SWP}(A^{(k-1)}, k)$  for  $k = 1, \dots, p$  with starting value  $A^{(0)} := A$ .

(a) If  $A$  is partitioned as

$$A := \begin{pmatrix} P & Q \\ R & S \end{pmatrix},$$

where  $P$  is a  $p \times p$  matrix, show that

$$A^{(p)} = \begin{pmatrix} -P^{-1} & P^{-1}Q \\ RP^{-1} & S - RP^{-1}Q \end{pmatrix}.$$

(b) Hence show that  $A^{(n)} = -A^{-1}$ .

### Solution

(a) We prove this by induction on  $p$ . The result is true for  $p = 1$ , because  $A^{(1)} = \text{SWP}(A, 1)$  and the definition of the sweep operator or Exercise 5.54(a). Next, assume that the result holds for  $p - 1$ , and let  $A$  be partitioned as

$$A = \begin{pmatrix} A_{11} & a_{12} & A_{13} \\ a'_{21} & a_{22} & a'_{23} \\ A_{31} & a_{32} & A_{33} \end{pmatrix},$$

where  $A_{11}$  has order  $p - 1$ ,  $a_{22}$  is a scalar, and  $A_{33}$  has order  $n - p$ . Then, by the induction hypothesis, we have

$$A^{(p-1)} = \begin{pmatrix} -A_{11}^{-1} & A_{11}^{-1}a_{12} & A_{11}^{-1}A_{13} \\ a'_{21}A_{11}^{-1} & a_{22} - a'_{21}A_{11}^{-1}a_{12} & a'_{23} - a'_{21}A_{11}^{-1}A_{13} \\ A_{31}A_{11}^{-1} & a_{32} - A_{31}A_{11}^{-1}a_{12} & A_{33} - A_{31}A_{11}^{-1}A_{13} \end{pmatrix}.$$

We now use Exercise 5.55(b); this shows that  $\text{SWP}(A^{(p-1)}, p)$  is equal to

$$\begin{pmatrix} -B_{11} & -b_{12} & B_{11}A_{13} + b_{12}a'_{23} \\ -b'_{21} & -b_{22} & b'_{21}A_{13} + b_{22}a'_{23} \\ A_{31}B_{11} + a_{32}b'_{21} & A_{31}b_{12} + a_{32}b_{22} & A_{33} - D \end{pmatrix},$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} B_{11} &:= A_{11}^{-1} + A_{11}^{-1} a_{12} a'_{21} A_{11}^{-1} / \beta, \\ b_{12} &:= -A_{11}^{-1} a_{12} / \beta, \quad b'_{21} := -a'_{21} A_{11}^{-1} / \beta, \\ b_{22} &:= 1 / \beta, \quad \beta := a_{22} - a'_{21} A_{11}^{-1} a_{12}, \\ D &:= A_{31} A_{11}^{-1} A_{13} + (a_{32} - A_{31} A_{11}^{-1} a_{12})(a'_{23} - a'_{21} A_{11}^{-1} A_{13}) / \beta. \end{aligned}$$

Noticing that

$$\begin{pmatrix} A_{11} & a_{12} \\ a'_{21} & a_{22} \end{pmatrix}^{-1} = \begin{pmatrix} B_{11} & b_{12} \\ b'_{21} & b_{22} \end{pmatrix},$$

using Exercise 5.16(a), and that

$$D = (A_{31} : a_{32}) \begin{pmatrix} B_{11} & b_{12} \\ b'_{21} & b_{22} \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} A_{13} \\ a'_{23} \end{pmatrix},$$

the result follows.

(b) This follows directly from (a). The inverse of  $A$  can thus be computed by  $n$  sequential sweep operations, a very useful fact in numerical inversion routines.

### Exercise 5.57 (Sweeping and linear equations)

(a) Show how the sweep operator can be used to solve the linear system  $PX = Q$  for nonsingular  $P$ .

(b) In particular, solve the system  $2x_1 + 3x_2 = 8$  and  $4x_1 + 5x_2 = 14$  using the sweep operator.

### Solution

(a) We know from Exercise 5.56 that

$$A := \begin{pmatrix} P & Q \\ R & S \end{pmatrix} \implies A^{(p)} = \begin{pmatrix} -P^{-1} & P^{-1}Q \\ RP^{-1} & S - RP^{-1}Q \end{pmatrix}.$$

Hence, the solution  $P^{-1}Q$  appears as the  $(1, 2)$ -block of  $A^{(p)}$ , where  $p$  denotes the order of the square matrix  $P$ .

(b) Denoting irrelevant elements by  $*$ s, we define

$$A^{(0)} := \begin{pmatrix} 2 & 3 & 8 \\ 4 & 5 & 14 \\ * & * & * \end{pmatrix}.$$

This gives

$$A^{(1)} := \text{SWP}(A^{(0)}, 1) = \begin{pmatrix} -1/2 & 3/2 & 4 \\ 2 & -1 & -2 \\ * & * & * \end{pmatrix}$$

and

$$\mathbf{A}^{(2)} := \text{SWP}(\mathbf{A}^{(1)}, 2) = \begin{pmatrix} 5/2 & -3/2 & 1 \\ -2 & 1 & 2 \\ * & * & * \end{pmatrix},$$

so that the solution is  $x_1 = 1$ ,  $x_2 = 2$ .

## Notes

A good survey of results with partitioned matrices can be found in Chapter 2 of Zhang (1999). The inequalities in Exercise 5.47 were first obtained by Sylvester in 1884 and Frobenius in 1911. Sylvester's inequality is called the “law of nullity”, because it implies that

$$\dim(\ker(\mathbf{AB})) \leq \dim(\ker(\mathbf{A})) + \dim(\ker(\mathbf{B})),$$

and the dimension of the kernel of a matrix is known as its “nullity”. The sweep operator (Exercises 5.54–5.57) plays a role in inversion routines. It was introduced by Beaton (1964); see also Dempster (1969).